CCI+ PHASE 1 – NEW ECVS

PERMAFROST

D2.4 ALGORITHM DEVELOPMENT PLAN (ADP)

VERSION 2.0

30 NOVEMBER 2019

PREPARED BY

Document Status Sheet

Issue	Date	Details	Authors
1.0	28.02.2019	First version	SW, AB, BH, TS
2.0	29.11.2019	Details on year two planning added, considering year 1 results	SW, AB

Author team

Sebastian Westerman, GUIO

Annett Bartsch, B.GEOS

Birgit Heim, AWI

Tazio Strozzi, GAMMA

ESA Technical Officer:

Frank Martin Seifert

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The ESA Permafrost_cci project for the first time aims for global application of a transient permafrost model at 1km scale, largely forced by Earth Observation data sets. While such algorithms have been demonstrated in a variety of studies before, the effort planned in Permafrost_cci is significantly larger from a computational point of view, making the setup of an automatic processing chain on a supercomputing cluster a major challenge - in the year 1 of the project, this task has been successfully completed. Subtasks included the integration of existing processing chains, in particular the preprocessing of land surface temperature records form the ESA GlobPermafrost project, as well as the compilation of the CryoGrid CCI ground thermal model, which is a computationally efficient version of existing model schemes. Also, for the first time, an ensemble representation of subpixel spatial variability of Permafrost ECV variables has been implemented in a processing chain with a transient representation of the ground thermal regime.

The individual algorithms of the processing chain have been implemented in a scalable fashion on a high-performance computing cluster, so that extended computation capacities in the future can be fully exploited, e.g. by increasing the density of the ensemble representation for individual pixels. The number of turnover cycles in model development is limited by the significant processing time of several months even on a supercomputing cluster. In year 2, the major targeted improvements are the implementation of a state-of-the-art ground stratigraphy product based on a synthesis effort of several thousand soil profiles. Furthermore, the model period will be extended backwards to 1979/1980, which will significantly improve the spin-up of the ground temperature profile. This will also make it possible to obtain results for all target epochs 1997/98, 2007/2008 and 2017/2018. Depending on availability and release date, novel data sets from other CCI projects will be incorporated, which are expected to not only improve the performance of the Permafrost_cci algorithm, but also increase consistency within the CCI family of data sets. These include in particular Temperature_cci data, but also Snow_cci snow extent data hold significant potential to improve the snow cover representation in the Permafrost_cci processing chain. The algorithm development plan for year 3 is still tentative, and efforts will be directed according to the performance of year 2 products.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of the document

This document provides an overview over the planned development of the Permafrost_cci algorithm within the project years. The document outlines both planned changes of the ground thermal model CryoGrid CCI and incorporation of new input data sets, which are largely derived from other CCI projects.

1.2 Structure of the document

This document summarizes the main characteristics of the algorithm selected for Permafrost_cci in Section 2. Section 3 provides an overview over existing processing chains, while Section 4 details planned algorithm improvements in the course of the project.

1.3 Applicable Documents

[AD-1] ESA 2017: Climate Change Initiative Extension (CCI+) Phase 1 – New Essential Climate Variables - Statement of Work. ESA-CCI-PRGM-EOPS-SW-17-0032

[AD-2] Requirements for monitoring of permafrost in polar regions - A community white paper in response to the WMO Polar Space Task Group (PSTG), Version 4, 2014-10-09. Austrian Polar Research Institute, Vienna, Austria, 20 pp

[AD-3] ECV 9 Permafrost: assessment report on available methodological standards and guides,

1 Nov 2009, GTOS-62

[AD-4] GCOS-200, the Global Observing System for Climate: Implementation Needs (2016

GCOS Implementation Plan, 2015.

1.4 Reference Documents

[RD-1] Bartsch, A., Matthes, H., Westermann, S., Heim, B., Pellet, C., Onacu, A., Kroisleitner, C., Strozzi, T.(2019): ESA CCI+ Permafrost User Requirements Document, v1.0

[RD-2] Bartsch, A., Westermann, Strozzi, T., Wiesmann, A., Kroisleitner, C. (2019): ESA CCI+ Permafrost Product Specifications Document, v1.0

[RD-3] Bartsch, A., Westermann, S., Heim, B., Wieczorek, M., Pellet, C., Barboux, C., Kroisleitner, C., Strozzi, T. (2019): ESA CCI+ Permafrost Data Access Requirements Document, v1.0

[RD-4] Bartsch, A.; Grosse, G.; Kääb, A.; Westermann, S.; Strozzi, T.; Wiesmann, A.; Duguay, C.; Seifert, F. M.; Obu, J.; Goler, R.: GlobPermafrost – How space-based earth observation supports understanding of permafrost. Proceedings of the ESA Living Planet Symposium, pp. 6.

[RD-5] IPA Action Group 'Specification of a Permafrost Reference Product in Succession of the IPA Map' (2016): Final report.

https://ipa.arcticportal.org/images/stories/AG_reports/IPA_AG_SucessorMap_Final_2016.pdf

[RD-6] Westermann, S., Bartsch, A., Strozzi, T. (2019): ESA CCI+ Product Validation and Assessment Report, v1.0

[RD-7] Heim, B., Wieczorek, M., Pellet, C., Barboux, C., Delaloye, R., Bartsch, A., Kroisleitner, C., Strozzi, T. (2019): ESA CCI+ Permafrost Product Validation and Intercomparison Report, v1.0

1.5 Bibliography

A complete bibliographic list that support arguments or statements made within the current document is provided in Section 5.1.

1.6 Acronyms

A list of acronyms is provided in section 5.2.

1.7 Glossary

The list below provides a selection of term relevant for the parameters addressed in CCI+ Permafrost. A comprehensive glossary is available as part of the Product Specifications Document [RD-2].

active-layer thickness

The thickness of the layer of the ground that is subject to annual thawing and freezing in areas underlain by permafrost.

The thickness of the active layer depends on such factors as the ambient air temperature, vegetation, drainage, soil or rock type and total water con-tent, snowcover, and degree and orientation of slope. As a rule, the active layer is thin in the High Arctic (it can be less than 15 cm) and becomes thicker farther south (1 m or more).

The thickness of the active layer can vary from year to year, primarily due to variations in the mean annual air temperature, distribution of soil moisture, and snowcover.

The thickness of the active layer includes the uppermost part of the permafrost wherever either the salinity or clay content of the permafrost allows it to thaw and refreeze annually, even though the material remains cryotic (T < 0°C).

Use of the term "depth to permafrost" as a synonym for the thickness of the active layer is misleading, especially in areas where the active layer is separated from the permafrost by a residual thaw layer, that is, by a thawed or noncryotic (T> 0°C) layer of ground.

REFERENCES: Muller, 1943; Williams, 1965; van Everdingen, 1985

continuous permafrost

Permafrost occurring everywhere beneath the exposed land surface throughout a geographic region with the exception of widely scattered sites, such as newly deposited unconsolidated sediments, where the climate has just begun to impose its influence on the thermal regime of the ground, causing the development of continuous permafrost.

For practical purposes, the existence of small taliks within continuous permafrost has to be recognized. The term, therefore, generally refers to areas where more than 90 percent of the ground surface is underlain by permafrost.

REFERENCE: Brown, 1970.

discontinuous permafrost

Permafrost occurring in some areas beneath the exposed land surface throughout a geographic region where other areas are free of permafrost.

Discontinuous permafrost occurs between the continuous permafrost zone and the southern latitudinal limit of permafrost in lowlands. Depending on the scale of mapping, several subzones can often be distinguished, based on the percentage (or fraction) of the land surface underlain by permafrost, as shown in the following table.

Permafrost	English usage	Russian Usage
Extensive	65-90%	Massive Island

Intermediate	35-65%	Island
Sporadic	10-35%	Sporadic
Isolated Patches	0-10%	-

SYNONYMS: (not recommended) insular permafrost; island permafrost; scattered permafrost.

REFERENCES: Brown, 1970; Kudryavtsev, 1978; Heginbottom, 1984; Heginbottom and Radburn, 1992; Brown et al., 1997.

mean annual ground temperature (MAGT)

Mean annual temperature of the ground at a particular depth.

The mean annual temperature of the ground usually increases with depth below the surface. In some northern areas, however, it is not un-common to find that the mean annual ground temperature decreases in the upper 50 to 100 metres below the ground surface as a result of past changes in surface and climate conditions. Below that depth, it will increase as a result of the geothermal heat flux from the interior of the earth. The mean annual ground temperature at the depth of zero annual amplitude is often used to assess the thermal regime of the ground at various locations.

permafrost

Ground (soil or rock and included ice and organic material) that remains at or below 0°C for at least two consecutive years.

Permafrost is synonymous with perennially cryotic ground: it is defined on the basis of temperature. It is not necessarily frozen, because the freezing point of the included water may be depressed several degrees below 0°C; moisture in the form of water or ice may or may not be present. In other words, whereas all perennially frozen ground is permafrost, not all permafrost is perennially frozen. Permafrost should not be regarded as permanent, because natural or man-made changes in the climate or terrain may cause the temperature of the ground to rise above 0°C.

Permafrost includes perennial ground ice, but not glacier ice or icings, or bodies of surface water with temperatures perennially below 0°C; it does include man-made perennially frozen ground around or below chilled pipelines, hockey arenas, etc.

Russian usage requires the continuous existence of temperatures below 0°C for at least three years, and also the presence of at least some ice.

SYNONYMS: perennially frozen ground, perennially cryotic ground and (not recommended) biennially frozen ground, climafrost, cryic layer, permanently frozen ground.

REFERENCES: Muller, 1943; van Everdingen, 1976; Kudryavtsev, 1978.

2 JUSTIFICATION ON THE ALGORITHM CHOSEN

In Permafrost_cci, we retrieve the parameters ground temperature and active layer thickness in a transient fashion, which are the parameters that define the Essential Climate Variable permafrost. As a result of general considerations, a review of published methods for ECV generation, and model intercomparison with other existing permafrost simulation tools, transient ground thermal modeling forced by remote sensing data sets has been identified as the most suitable algorithm. Based on these considerations, the year 1 Permafrost_cci processing chain has been implemented, comprising the new CryoGrid CCI ground thermal model based on the well-established CryoGrid 2 simulation tool (Westermann et al., 2013; 2017).

As basis for the benchmarking in Permafrost_cci, ground temperature from borehole data available through GTN-P and active layer thickness through CALM have been used, assessing algorithm performance primarily through measures such as correlation, root mean square error and standard deviation [RD-7]. In year 1, the algorithm selected in Permafrost_cci was able to achieve threshold requirements in many categories, while algorithm development in years 2 and 3 is expected to lead to a further improved performance.

3 EXISTING PRODUCTION LINES

This section provides an overview over existing production lines for both input data sets for Permafrost_cci modelling and the actual model frameworks.

The ESA GlobPermafrost project has established production lines for gap-filling of remotely sensed land surface temperatures (LST) from MODIS, using ERA reanalysis data. These production lines are used as the basis for Permafrost_cci processing, but they have been modified to incorporate the new ERA-5 reanalysis instead of ERA-interim. Also, in ESA GlobPermafrost, a production line for assessing the factional cover of 300m from Landcover_cci within 1km grid cells has been established. This has been employed directly for Permafrost_cci in year 1. Furthermore, a production line for subpixel ensemble generation has been created in ESA GlobPermafrost, which, however, is optimized for equilibrium permafrost models. Nevertheless, it can form the basis for ensemble generation in Permafrost_cci. The SatPerm project funded by the Research Council of Norway (2015-2018) has established a production line for the ground thermal model CryoGrid 2, as employed by Westermann et al. (2015). With this model, Permafrost ECV generation is in principle possible but the algorithm implementation is not computationally efficient enough to allow global ECV processing at the resolution of 1km requested by users [RD-1]. Therefore, in year 1 of Permafrost_cci, a new production line centered around the new CryoGrid CCI ground thermal model was implemented, with which ECV production in year 1 was accomplished. In years 2 and 3, this production line will be extended and further improved.

4 ALGORITHM DEVELOPMENTS PLAN

Here, we document the plan for further development of the Permafrost_cci algorithm in years 2 and 3 of the project. Compared to published studies using similar ground thermal models (e.g. Jafarov et al., 2012), the computational effort of the year 1 ECV processing was considerably higher due to the global focus at the relatively high resolution of 1km, so that model tuning is considerably more difficult than in most previous efforts. The plan must therefore be considered tentative and will be adapted further according to intermediate results achieved in year 2.

In year 1, the Permafrost_cci algorithm facilitated production of the Permafrost ECV at 1km pixel size including a representation of subgrid variability with a model ensemble. Here, we provide detailed information on the different steps of the processing chain, as well as planned modifications in years 2 and 3.

- Download of all required raw input data sets and establishment of an input archive on the Norwegian NIRD (National e-Infrastructure for Research Data; documentation.sigma2.no/storage/nird.html) storage facility. Between years 1 and 2, several new data sets must be downloaded, especially the newly produced year 1 data from Temperature_cci.
- Compilation of a workload distribution system, which splits the processing in parallel jobs that can run optimally on the cores of the Abel Supercomputing cluster. The workload distribution system takes both the sequential runtime on each core and the required memory per core into account. It includes both the preprocessing and the actual CryoGrid CCI simulations. While this workload system has been implemented and tested in year 1 on the Abel Supercomputing cluster in Oslo, Norway, the year 2 computation is scheduled to be moved to the new Saga cluster in Trondheim, Norway, which makes a partial redesign necessary. Since Saga according to its specification offers an improved performance over Abel (e.g. 40 instead of 16 cores per node), the additional development work will likely result in a reduced computation time.
- Establishment of a preprocessing chain to compile eight-day averages of all CryoGrid CCI forcing data sets. This subtask was accomplished in year 1, but needs to be adapted in year 2 so that remotely sensed temperature data from Temperature_CCI can be integrated.
- Setup of the CryoGrid CCI model on the Abel Supercomputing cluster, including a spin-up procedure to estimate the initial state. For global applications, the model has been amended with additional parametrizations for the snow module, e.g. to reproduce spatial patterns of snow densities (Vionnet et al., 2012). This work will be continued in year 2 to improve algorithm performance.

- Postprocessing of model results to compile the Permafrost ECV variables, i.e. annual averages of ground temperature, maximum annual thaw depth (i.e. active layer thickness) and permafrost fraction. In year 2, we plan to add further parameters requested by users, e.g. annual maximum and minimum temperatures.

In year 1, we have delivered the first N Hemisphere product from 2003 to 2017 at 1km pixel size, with a model ensemble of five members, which includes the epochs 2007/08 and 2017. In the course of this work, the main processing chain has been implemented and tested, but needs to be further optimized in years 2 and 3. Due to the significant runtime of more than 2 months for a Northern Hemisphere run, as well as the available computational resources, it is challenging to accomplish several iterations in year 1. In years 2 and 3, the processing chain will be further optimized, building on the results of year 1. The algorithm development plan in years 2 and 3 must therefore be understood as tentative.

In year 2, we will integrate improved ground stratigraphies and combine the Landcover_cci classification employed in year 1 with permafrost-focussed spatial classifications, e.g. existing maps of Yedoma extent as well as in-situ observations of ground stratigraphies (Hugelius et al., 2013). Furthermore, we will integrate land surface temperature and snow cover data sets from other CCI projects, if these are available in time for Permafrost ECV production in year 2. Furthermore, we will extend the model period to 1979-2018, with 1979-1995 used as spin-up period. Since remote sensing data for land surface temperature are not available for this initial period, we will compile a synthesized time series by correlating the Permafrost_cci forcing time with original ERA-5 data for the overlap period (e.g. 2003-2018). Extending the forcing time series will strongly improve the transient performance of the model, which is especially important for ground temperatures in deeper ground layers (more than 5m depth). If sufficient computation resources can be made available, the ensemble size will be increased further to ten ensemble members. In addition, the snow model implemented in CryoGrid CCI will be improved by including a snow melt model based on the surface energy balance, which is computed from ERA-5 data using the published scheme of Fiddes and Gruber (2014). For the validation procedure, we will test to use individual ensemble members for comparison to ground truth data from boreholes instead of ensemble averages. We expect that this can moderate the scaling problem between the near-point-scale of borehole measurements and the 1m pixel size of the permafrost ECV products.

In year 3, we mainly plan to consolidate the measures taken in year 2. This in particular concerns the snow model in CryoGrid CCI. Further modifications could include:

- If computational resources can be increased even more compared to year 2, the ensemble size will be increased further to increase the precision of the permafrost extent product.

- The ensemble generation could potentially be improved, especially taking correlations between different input factors explicitly into account. This is especially important for improvement of the model representation of carbon-rich permafrost peatlands where dry conditions are associated with low snow depths on permafrost-bearing peat plateaus, while permafrost-free wet fen areas are associated with a high soil moisture and high snow depths (Quinton and Baltzer, 2013; Aas et al., 2019).
- Implementation of wintertime rain and melt events in the snow module can potentially lead to improved performance in maritime permafrost regions, such as on Svalbard (Putkonen and Roe, 2013; Westermann et al., 2011).
- Based on the Fire_cci products, information on forest fires could be implemented as a disturbance in the CryoGrid CCI model, including the burnt area and the timing of the fire. The effect of a fire would be represented by modifying ground stratigraphies (i.e. reducing the organic content near the surface), which leads to increased thaw depths in the CryoGrid CCI model, in qualitative agreement with observations from burn sites (e.g. Brown et al., 1983; Taş et al., 2014).

5 REFERENCES

5.1 Bibliography

Aas, K.S., Martin, L., Nitzbon, J., Langer, M., Boike, J., Lee, H., Berntsen, T.K. and Westermann, S., 2019. Thaw processes in ice-rich permafrost landscapes represented with laterally coupled tiles in a land surface model. *The Cryosphere*, *13*(2), pp.591-609.

Brown, R.J.E., Wein, R.W. and Maclean, D.A., 1983. Effects of fire on the permafrost ground thermal regime.

Fiddes, J. and Gruber, S., 2014. TopoSCALE v. 1.0: downscaling gridded climate data in complex terrain. *Geoscientific Model Development*, *7*(1), pp.387-405.

Hugelius, G., Tarnocai, C., Broll, G., Canadell, J.G., Kuhry, P. and Swanson, D.K., 2013. The Northern Circumpolar Soil Carbon Database: spatially distributed datasets of soil coverage and soil carbon storage in the northern permafrost regions. *Earth System Science Data*, *5*(1), pp.3-13.

Jafarov, E.E., Marchenko, S.S. and Romanovsky, V.E., 2012. Numerical modeling of permafrost dynamics in Alaska using a high spatial resolution dataset. *The Cryosphere*, *6*(3), pp.613-624.

Putkonen, J. and Roe, G., 2003. Rain-on-snow events impact soil temperatures and affect ungulate survival. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *30*(4).

Quinton, W.L. and Baltzer, J.L., 2013. The active-layer hydrology of a peat plateau with thawing permafrost (Scotty Creek, Canada). *Hydrogeology Journal*, *21*(1), pp.201-220.

Taş, N., Prestat, E., McFarland, J.W., Wickland, K.P., Knight, R., Berhe, A.A., Jorgenson, T., Waldrop, M.P. and Jansson, J.K., 2014. Impact of fire on active layer and permafrost microbial communities and metagenomes in an upland Alaskan boreal forest. *The ISME journal*, *8*(9), p.1904.

Vionnet, V., Brun, E., Morin, S., Boone, A., Faroux, S., Le Moigne, P., Martin, E. and Willemet, J.M., 2012. The detailed snowpack scheme Crocus and its implementation in SURFEX v7. 2. *Geoscientific Model Development*, *5*, pp.773-791.

Westermann, S., Boike, J., Langer, M., Schuler, T.V. and Etzelmüller, B., 2011. Modeling the impact of wintertime rain events on the thermal regime of permafrost. *The Cryosphere*, *5*, pp.1697-1736.

Westermann, S., Schuler, T., Gisnås, K. and Etzelmuller, B., 2013. Transient thermal modeling of permafrost conditions in Southern Norway. *The Cryosphere*, *7*(2), pp.719-739.

Westermann, S., Peter, M., Langer, M., Schwamborn, G., Schirrmeister, L., Etzelmüller, B. and Boike, J., 2017. Transient modeling of the ground thermal conditions using satellite data in the Lena River delta, Siberia. *The Cryosphere*, *11*(3), pp.1441-1463.

5.2 Acronyms

AD	Applicable Document
ALT	Active Layer Thickness
AWI	Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research
B.GEOS	b.geos GmbH
CCI	Climate Change Initiative
CRG	Climate Research Group
CRS	Coordinate Reference System
DARD	Data Access Requirements Document
ECV	Essential Climate Variable
EO	Earth Observation
ESA	European Space Agency
ESA DUE	ESA Data User Element
GAMMA	Gamma Remote Sensing AG
GCOS	Global Climate Observing System
GCMD	Global Change Master Directory
GIPL	Geophysical Institute Permafrost Laboratory
GTD	Ground Temperature at certain depth
GTN-P	Global Terrestrial Network for Permafrost
GUIO	Department of Geosciences University of Oslo
IPA	International Permafrost Association
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
LST	Land Surface Temperature
MAGT	Mean Annual Ground Temperature
MAGST	Mean Annual Ground Surface Temperature
NetCDF	Network Common Data Format
NSIDC	National Snow and Ice Data Center
PFR	Permafrost extent (Fraction)
PFF	Permafrost-Free Fraction
PFT	Permafrost underlain by Talik

PSD	Product Specifications Document
PSTG	Polar Space Task Group
PZO	Permafrost Zone
RD	Reference Document
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error
RS	Remote Sensing
SLF	Institut für Schnee- und Lawinenforschung, Davos
SU	Department of Physical Geography Stockholm University
TSP	Thermal State of Permafrost
UAF	University of Alaska, Fairbanks
UNIFR	Department of Geosciences University of Fribourg
URD	Users Requirement Document
WGS 84	World Geodetic System 1984
WUT	West University of Timisoara