



Ozone_cci+



Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (ATBD)

Date: 07/05/2020

Version: 1

WP Manager: R. Siddans

WP Manager Organization: STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

Other partners: DLR-IMF, KNMI, RAL, ULB, UBR, FMI



DOCUMENT PROPERTIES

Title Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (ATBD)
Reference Ozone_cci+_D2p1_ATBD_1
Issue 1
Revision 0
Status Draft
Date of issue 7 May 2020
Document type D2.1

	FUNCTION	NAME	DATE	SIGNATURE
LEAD AUTHOR	Project Parnter	Richard Siddans		
CONTRIBUTING AUTHORS	Project partner	M. Coldeway-Egbers , C. Lerot, V. Sofieva, R. van der A, C. Wespes		
REVIEWED BY	Project partner			
ISSUED BY	Science Leader	Richard Siddans		



DOCUMENT CHANGE RECORD

Issue	Revision	Date	Modified items	Observations
0	0	14/02/2020	Initial template	Creation of document



Executive Summary

The Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (ATBD, deliverable D2.1 in Ozone_cci+) describes the algorithms used for total ozone columns, nadir-based ozone profiles, and limb-based ozone profiles.

A series of new algorithms will be developed with a focus on both level-2 and level-3 data products.

For total ozone, the following activities will be undertaken:

- Integration of Sentinel-5P and MetOp-C/GOME-2 in the GTO-ECV data record, based on the reference GODFIT level-2 algorithm
- Merging of GTO-ECV data record with historical NASA/SBUV/TOMS data records to create a 40-year CDR starting in 1979
- Tentative expansion of the MSR data record back to 1960 using ground-based total ozone measurements

For ozone profiles from nadir sensors:

- Integration of Sentinel-5P and MetOp-C/GOME-2 in CCI data sets based on the reference RAL level-2 retrieval algorithm
- Creation of a first European merged ozone profile and total ozone data record (GOP-ECV) starting with ERS-2/GOME in 1995 and consistent with the GTO-ECV total ozone data record
- Improvement of IASI level-2 retrieval algorithm (FORLI) to reduce uncertainties and systematic biases in the UTLS region
- Exploration of the potential to reduce uncertainties in nadir profile level-2 products in the lower troposphere and UTLS through exploitation of the synergy between UV, VIS and TIR retrievals

For ozone profiles from limb sensors:

- Integration of several new sensors (POAM-III on SPOT-4, SAGE-III on Meteor-3M, and SAGE-III on ISS) in the HARMOZ data record
- Intercomparison of different competing OMPS-LP level-2 retrieval algorithms. This activity might lead to the identification of a need for a dedicated round-robin exercise.
- Improvement of OMPS-LP level-2 algorithm in the UTLS and in polar regions
- Extension of the merged SAGE-II/CCI/OMPS long-term zonal mean data record with additional sensors to improve its accuracy, especially in lower stratosphere
- Improvement of latitude-longitude gridded level-3 data products based on limb-type sensors



Table of Contents

1	Purpose and scope.....	7
1.1	Purpose	7
1.2	Scope.....	7
1.3	Applicable documents	7
1.4	References documents	7
1.5	Acronyms.....	11
2	Total Ozone ECV Retrieval Algorithms.....	13
2.1	GODFIT (BIRA)	13
2.1.1	Total ozone retrievals from TROPOMI/S5P.....	14
2.1.2	Total ozone retrievals from GOME-2/Metop-C.....	16
2.2	GOME-type Total Ozone - Essential Climate Variable (GTO-ECV) (DLR)	18
2.2.1	Total ozone L3 algorithm.....	18
2.2.2	The individual level-2 total ozone observations as described in Sect. 2.1 are converted into a level-3 product, i.e. they are mapped onto a regular global grid of $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ in latitude and longitude to construct daily averages for each sensor. Each grid cell contains an average of all level-2 data from the same day, whose center coordinates fall in the respective grid cell. Total ozone merging algorithm	18
2.2.3	GTO-ECV extension backward in time	18
2.3	Multi-Sensor-Reanalysis scheme (KNMI)	20
2.3.1	Introduction MSR algorithm	20
2.3.2	Algorithm update to extend the MSR data set into the past.....	22
3	Nadir Profile ECV Retrieval Algorithms.....	24
3.1	RAL nadir profile ECV retrieval algorithms (RAL)	24
3.2	IASI FORLI Ozone profile retrieval algorithm (ULB)	25
3.2.1	Basic retrieval equations	25
3.2.2	Assumptions, grid and sequence of operations	25
	Spectral ranges	25
	Vertical grid	25
	Ozone state vector.....	25
	Other state vector elements.....	26
	Measurement covariance matrix.....	26
3.2.3	Iterations and convergence.....	27
3.2.4	Forward model	27
	Atmospheric state input to the RTM	27
	L1C radiances	27
	Temperature and humidity profiles.....	27
	Surface temperature	27
	Cloud fraction	27
	CO ₂ profile	27



Orography	27
Emissivity.....	27
Lookup-tables.....	28
Spectroscopy	28
Radiative Transfer Model (RTM)	28
3.2.5 Error description.....	28
3.2.6 Output product description.....	28
Formats	28
Ozone profile and characterization	29
3.2.7 Retrievals and Quality flags	29
3.3 Combined uv/vis/thermal-ir retrieval algorithm (RAL)	30
3.3.1 Overview	30
3.3.2 Chappuis retrieval scheme.....	30
3.3.3 RAL Infra-red / Microwave sounder retrievals	31
3.3.4 L2-L2 Combination.....	31
4 Limb profile ECV Retrieval / Merging Algorithm	35
4.1 HARMOnized dataset of OZone profiles (HARMOZ) (Bremen).....	35
4.2 OMPS Retrieval Schemes (Bremen)	37
4.2.1 OMPS-LP NASA retrieval algorithm version 2.5	37
4.2.2 OMPS-LP IUP retrieval algorithm version 2	37
4.2.3 OMPS-LP Usask retrieval algorithm	39
4.3 SAGE-CCI-OMPS Extension (FMI).....	39
4.4 Gridded merged Level 3 dataset (FMI)	42



1 Purpose and scope

1.1 Purpose

This document describes the algorithms used in the Ozone_cci+, addressing total ozone columns, nadir-based ozone profiles, and limb-based ozone profiles.

1.2 Scope

The scope of the ATBD is to document algorithms used in the CCI+ project, with particular emphasis on algorithm features which are new in CCI+. Where algorithms are already described by existing ATBDs or similar documents, references are made to these documents and only a brief overview of the algorithmic basis is given.

1.3 Applicable documents

- [AD-1] Data Standards Requirements for CCI Data Producers. Latest version at time of writing is v1.2: ref. CCI-PRGM-EOPS-TN-13-0009, 9 March 2015, available online at: http://cci.esa.int/sites/default/files/CCI_Data_Requirements_Iss1.2_Mar2015.pdf
- [AD-2] CCI Data Policy v1.1. Available online at: https://earth.esa.int/documents/10174/1754357/RD-7_CCI_Data_Policy_v1.1.pdf

1.4 References documents

- [RD-1] GCOS Climate Monitoring Principles, November 1999, reproduced in [RD-5], p48.
- [RD-2] Guideline for the Generation of Satellite-based Datasets and Products meeting GCOS Requirements, GCOS Secretariat, GCOS-128, March 2009 (WMO/TD No. 1488). Available online at: <http://library.wmo.int>
- [RD-3] Quality assurance framework for earth observation (QA4EO): <http://qa4eo.org>
- [RD-4] EU Research Programmes on Space and Climate: Horizon 2020 (H2020), (<http://ec.europa.eu/programmes/horizon2020/en/h2020-section/space>, <https://ec.europa.eu/programmes/horizon2020/en/h2020-section/climate-action-environment-resource-efficiency-and-raw-materials>) and Copernicus (<http://www.copernicus.eu/>).
- [RD-5] The Global Observing System for Climate: Implementation Needs, GCOS-200, October 2016. Available online at: <http://library.wmo.int>
- [RD-6] Status of the Global Observing System for Climate, GCOS-195, October 2015. Available online at: <http://library.wmo.int>



- [RD-7] Joint Committee for Guides in Metrology, 2008, Evaluation of measurement data — Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM), JGCM 100: 2008. Available online at <http://www.bipm.org/en/publications/guides/gum.html>.
- [RD-8] Copernicus Space Component:
www.esa.int/Our_Activities/Observing_the_Earth/Copernicus/Space_Component
- [RD-9] User requirements for monitoring the evolution of stratospheric ozone at high vertical resolution (Operoz), 2015, ESA Expro contract 4000112948/14/NL/JK. Available online at:
http://projects.knmi.nl/capacity/Operoz/Operoz_final_report_with_exec_summary_1mar2015.pdf
- [RD-10] Boynard, A., et al.: Validation of the IASI FORLI/EUMETSAT O3 products using satellite (GOME-2), ground-based (Brewer-Dobson, SAOZ, FTIR) and ozonesonde measurements, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-5125-2018>, 2018.
- [RD-11] Cariolle, D. and Teyssèdre, H.: A revised linear ozone photochemistry parametrization for use in transport and general circulation models: Multi-annual simulations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 7, 1655–1697, 2007.
- [RD-12] Chance, K., Burrows, J et al., Satellite measurements of atmospheric ozone profiles, including tropospheric ozone, from ultraviolet/visible measurements in the nadir geometry: a potential method to retrieve tropospheric ozone, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer* Vol. 51. No. 4, pp. 461476, 1997
- [RD-13] Chinaud, J., et al.: IASI-C L1 Cal/Val System performance synthesis. EUMETSAT Technical Note IA-NT-0000-4477-CNES, https://www.EUMETSAT.int/website/home/News/DAT_4439637.html, 2019.
- [RD-14] Coldewey-Egbers, M., Loyola, D. G., Koukouli, M., Balis, D., Lambert, J.-C., Verhoelst, T., Granville, J., van Roozendaal, M., Lerot, C., Spurr, R., Frith, S. M., and Zehner, C.: The GOME-type Total Ozone Essential Climate Variable (GTO-ECV) data record from the ESA Climate Change Initiative, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 3923–3940, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-3923-2015>, 2015.
- [RD-15] Coldewey-Egbers, M., Loyola, D., Labow, G., and Frith, S.: Comparison of GTO-ECV and Adjusted-MERRA total ozone columns from the last two decades and assessment of interannual variability, *Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss.*, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2019-297>, in review, 2019.
- [RD-16] Eskes, H. J., van Velthoven, P. F. J., Valks, P. J. M. and Kelder, H. M.: Assimilation of GOME total ozone satellite observations in a three-dimensional tracer transport model, *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 129, 1663-1681, 2003.
- [RD-17] Garane, K., Lerot, C., Coldewey-Egbers, M., Verhoelst, T., Koukouli, M.E., Zyrichidou, I., Balis, D.S., Danckaert, T., Goutail, F., Granville, J., Hubert, D., Keppens, A., Lambert, J.-C., Loyola, D., Pommereau, J.-P., Van Roozendaal, M., and Zehner, C.:



- Quality assessment of the Ozone_cci Climate Research Data Package (release 2017) – Part 1: Ground-based validation of total ozone column data products, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 11, 1385-1402, doi:10.5194/amt-11-1385-2018, 2018.
- [RD-18] Garane, K., Koukouli, M.-E., Verhoelst, T., Lerot, C., Heue, K.-P., Fioletov, V., Balis, D., Bais, A., Bazureau, A., Dehn, A., Goutail, F., Granville, J., Griffin, D., Hubert, D., Keppens, A., Lambert, J.-C., Loyola, D., McLinden, C., Pazmino, A., Pommereau, J.-P., Redondas, A., Romahn, F., Valks, P., Van Roozendael, M., Xu, J., Zehner, C., Zerefos, C., and Zimmer, W.: TROPOMI/S5P total ozone column data: global ground-based validation and consistency with other satellite missions, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 12, 5263–5287, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-5263-2019>, 2019.
- [RD-19] Hollmann, R., et al., The ESA climate change initiative: Satellite data records for essential climate variables. *American Meteorological Society. Bulletin*, Vol. 94, No. 10, 2013, p. 1541-1552.
- [RD-20] Hurtmans, D., et al.: FORLI radiative transfer and retrieval code for IASI, *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2012.02.03>, 2012.
- [RD-21] Keppens, A., J.-C. Lambert, J. Granville, D. Hubert, T. Verhoelst, S. Compernelle, B. Latter, B. Kerridge, R. Siddans, A. Boynard, J. Hadji-Lazaro, C. Clerbaux, C. Wespes, D. R. Hurtmans, P. F. Coheur, J. van Peet, R. van der A, K. Garane, M. E. Koukouli, D. S. Balis, A. Delcloo, R. Kivi, R. Stübi, S. Godin-Beekmann, M. Van Roozendael, C. Zehner: Quality assessment of the Ozone_cci Climate Research Data Package (release 2017): 2. Ground-based validation of nadir ozone profile data products, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 11, 3769–3800, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-3769-2018>, 2018.
- [RD-22] Kerr, J. B.: New methodology for deriving total ozone and other atmospheric variables from Brewer spectrophotometer direct sun spectra, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107(D23), 4731, doi:10.1029/2001JD001227, 2002.
- [RD-23] Koukouli, M. E., Lerot, C., Granville, J., Goutail, F., Lambert, J.-C., Pommereau, J.-P., Balis, D., Zyrichidou, I., Van Roozendael, M., Coldewey-Egbers, M., Loyola, D., Labow, G., Frith, S., Spurr, R. and Zehner, C.: Evaluating a new homogeneous total ozone climate data record from OME/ERS-2, SCIAMACHY/Envisat, and GOME-2/Metop-A, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 120(23), 12,296-2,312, doi:10.1002/2015JD023699, 2015.
- [RD-24] Krol, M., S. Houweling, B. Bregman, M. van den Broek, A. Segers, P. van Velthoven, W. Peters, F. Dentener and P. Bergamaschi: The two-way nested global chemistry-transport zoom model TM5: algorithm and applications, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 417-432, 2005.
- [RD-25] Lerot, C., et al. "Homogenized total ozone data records from the European sensors GOME/ERS-2, SCIAMACHY/Envisat and GOME-2/Metop-A." *J. Geophys. Res.*, 119, 3, 1639-1662, doi:10.1002/2013JD020831, 2014.



- [RD-26] Merchant, C., et al., 2017, Uncertainty information in climate data records from Earth observation, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss.*, vol. 9, p511-527.
- [RD-27] Miles, G.M., R. Siddans, B. J. Kerridge, B. G. Latter, and N. A. D. Richards, Tropospheric ozone and ozone profiles retrieved from GOME-2 and their validation, *AMT*, doi:10.5194/amt-8-385-2015
- [RD-28] Munro, R., R. Siddans, W.J. Reburn, and B.J. Kerridge. "Direct measurement of tropospheric ozone distributions from space." *Nature* 392 (1998): 168-171.
- [RD-29] Newman, P. A., Daniel, J. S., Waugh, D. W., and Nash, E. R.: A new formulation of equivalent effective stratospheric chlorine (EESC), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 4537–4552, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-4537-2007>, 2007.
- [RD-30] Ohring, G., 2007: Achieving Satellite Instrument Calibration for Climate Change. *Eos, Transactions, American Geophysical Union*, Vol. 88, Issue 11.
- [RD-31] Pedernana, M., D. Loyola, A. Apituley, M. Sneep, P. Veefkind, Sentinel-5 precursor/TROPOMI L2 Product User Manual O3 Total Column, S5P-L2-DLR-PUM-400A, Issue 01-01-01, 16-07-2018
- [RD-32] Prather, M. J.: Numerical advection by conservation of ozone data in weather-prediction models, *Q.J.R.Meteorol. Soc.*, 122, 1545-1571, 1986.
- [RD-33] Rahpoe, N., A. Laeng, G. Stiller, M. Weber (*eds*) and R. van der A, C. Adams, P. Bernath, T. von Clarmann, M. Coldewey-Egbers, D. Degenstein, A. Dudhia, R. Hargreaves, C. Lerot, D. Loyola, J. van Peet, V. Sofieva, J. Tamminen, J. Urban, M. Van Roozendaal, T. Danckaert, R. Astoreca, K.-P. Heue, P. Sheese, K. Walker and S. Tukiainen, *Ozone_cci Phase-II Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (ATBD)*, Version 2, Issue 0, Revision 0, *Ozone_cci_ATBD_Phase2_V2*, December 2017.
- [RD-34] Redondas, A. and Cede, A.: Brewer algorithm sensitivity analysis, SAUNA workshop, Puerto de la Cruz, Tenerife, November, 2006.
- [RD-35] Siddans, R. Height Resolved Ozone Retrievals from Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment. PhD Thesis, University of Reading, 2003
- [RD-36] Siddans, R. et al, Sentinel 5 L2 Prototype Processors, Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document: Ozone Profile. RAL-ESA-S5L2PP-ATBD-001, Version 3.1, 17 May 2019
- [RD-37] Siddans, R., CCI+ Water Vapour: ATBD Part 2 - IMS L2 Product, Ref: CCIWV.REP.005, Issue 1, 2019
- [RD-38] Siddans, R. et al, Sentinel 4 Level 2 ATBD: Tropospheric Ozone, Document ID S4-L2-RAL-ATBD-2003, Issue 2.2 , Date: 2020-04-21
- [RD-39] Spurr, R. "LIDORT and VLIDORT: Linearized pseudo-spherical scalar and vector discrete ordinate radiative transfer models for use in remote sensing retrieval problems." In *Light Scattering Reviews*, Volume 3, by A. A. Kokhanovsky (ed.). Springer, 2008.



- [RD-40] Spurr, R., V. Natraj, C. Lerot, M. Van Roozendael, and D. Loyola. "Linearization of the Principal Component Analysis method for radiative transfer acceleration: Application to retrieval algorithms and sensitivity studies." *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer* 125 (2013): 1-17.
- [RD-41] Spurr, R., D. Loyola, M. Van Roozendael, C. Lerot, K.-P. Heue, J. Xiu, S5P/TROPOMI Total Ozone ATBD, S5P-L2-DLR-ATBD-400A, Issue 2.0, 23/08/2019.
- [RD-42] van der A, R.J., M.A.F. Allaart and H.J. Eskes, Multi sensor reanalysis of total ozone *Atm. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, 10, 11277-11294, doi:10.5194/acp-10-11277-2010.
- [RD-43] van der A, R. J., Allaart, M. A. F., and Eskes, H. J.: Extended and refined multi sensor reanalysis of total ozone for the period 1970-2012, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 3021-3035, doi:10.5194/amt-8-3021-2015, 2015.
- [RD-44] Van Roozendael, M., et al. "Fifteen years of GOME/ERS2 total ozone data: the new direct-fitting GOME Data Processor (GDP) Version 5: I. Algorithm Description." *J. Geophys. Res.* 117 (2012): D03305
- [RD-45] Van Rozendael, M., et al., C3S Ozone Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (ATBD), Version 1.1a, 3S_312b_Lot2.1.1.2_201902_ATBD_v1.1a, 8/4/2019.
- [RD-46] Weber, M et al, Ozone CCI ATBD, Issue 0 - Revision 00 - Status: Final, Date of issue: Dec 7, 2017

1.5 Acronyms

ACE-FTS	Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment – Fourier Transform Spectrometer
ATBD	Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document
BIRA-IASB	Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy
CCI	Climate Change Initiative
CDR	Climate Data Record
C3S	Copernicus Climate Change Service
DLR	German Aerospace Centre
ECMWF	European Centre for Medium-range Weather Forecast
ECV	Essential Climate Variable
ENVISAT	Environmental Satellite (ESA)
EO	Earth Observation
ESA	European Space Agency
EU	European Union
EUMETSAT	European Organisation for the Exploitation of Meteorological Satellites
FMI	Finnish Meteorological Institute
GAW	Global Atmosphere Watch
GCOS	Global Climate Observation System
GOME	Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment (aboard ERS-2)
GOME-2	Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment – 2 (aboard MetOp-A)
GOMOS	Global Ozone Monitoring by Occultation of Stars
GOP	GOME-type Ozone Profile



GTO	GOME-type Total Ozone
IASI	Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer
KNMI	Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute
MetOp	Meteorological Operational Platform (EUMETSAT)
MIPAS	Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding
MLS	
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NDACC	Network for the Detection of Atmospheric Composition Change
OMI	Ozone Monitoring Instrument (aboard EOS-Aura)
OSIRIS	Optical and Spectroscopic Remote Imaging System (aboard Odin)
RAL	Rutherford Appleton Laboratory
SCIAMACHY	Scanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric Cartography (aboard Envisat)
TOMS	Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer
UV	Ultraviolet



2 Total Ozone ECV Retrieval Algorithms

2.1 GODFIT (BIRA)

The GOME-type Direct Fitting (GODFIT) algorithm version 4 [RD-25][RD-17] relies on a direct-fitting approach to retrieve in a one-single step total ozone columns from satellite nadir UV hyperspectral measurements. A non-linear least squares minimization of differences between measured and simulated reflectances is performed in the Huggins bands (fitting window: 325-335 nm) which provides high sensitivity to ozone absorption down to the surface. In addition to total ozone, a number of other parameters form the state vector, including the effective temperature, an effective albedo for the observed scene, and the amplitude of the inelastic structures (Ring effect). Simulations are performed on the fly with the radiative transfer model LIDORT for which the computational performance has been enhanced by application of Principal Component Analysis of the optical properties [RD-31][RD-41]. The RT model also provides the Jacobians required for the inversion. Alternatively, in order to further accelerate the retrievals, the simulated data can be extracted from precomputed look-up tables, e.g. for sensors providing large amount of data.

The original algorithm originally developed for analysing spectra recorded by the GOME/ERS-2 instrument [RD-44] has been further developed during the two first phases of the CCI programme to produce consistent level-2 data sets from GOME/ERS-2, SCIAMACHY/Envisat, GOME-2/Metop-A, GOME-2/Metop-B, OMI:Aura and OMPS/Suomi-NPP [RD-25][RD-17]. One particular aspect of the CCI algorithm is that it includes an optional soft-calibration procedure of the L1b data, allowing to further reduce possible systematic biases in the L2 retrievals attributed to limitations in the L1 calibration. This procedure is currently applied to the two GOME-2 instruments and to SCIAMACHY. Thanks to the application of one common retrieval approach and to this soft-calibration procedure, it has been shown that all individual L2 data sets agree with ground-based reference measurements at the percent level [RD-23][RD-17]. The high maturity of the total ozone L2 retrievals developed within CCI allows producing and extending operationally the different level-2 data sets as part of the Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) activities. Figure 1 illustrates the current total ozone time series obtained when the different data sets available are combined together. The algorithm has been extensively described in previous documents ([RD-31] [RD-45]) and the reader is invited to refer to them for more details. Additional information related to the required input data as well as output variables, including diagnostic metrics, are also provided there.




Figure 1: Illustration of the total ozone time series as produced as part of the CCI and C3S activities and obtained by combining different sensors (GOME, SCIAMACHY, GOME-2A/B, OMI, OMPS).

In the context of CCI+, no new algorithm development is planned but the suite of the L2 total ozone products will be extended by integrating records from the new sensors TROPOMI/S5P and GOME2/Metop-C.

2.1.1 Total ozone retrievals from TROPOMI/S5P


TROPOMI aboard the Sentinel-5 Precursor platform is a nadir-viewing instrument and has been launched in October 2017 on a sun-synchronous orbit at 824 km and an equator crossing time of 13:30 local solar time. It records earthshine radiances in spectral ranges from the ultraviolet to the shortwave infrared regions at an unprecedented spatial resolution (3.5 x 7 km² and 3.5 x 5.5 km² after August 2019) and aims at providing key information for the understanding and monitoring of the Earth-atmosphere system, and more particularly of aspects related to ozone layer protection, air quality and climate change.

Although the primary focus of the mission is the tropospheric composition, it will also contribute to extending the time series of European total ozone measurements from space, which have been initiated in the nineties with GOME/ERS-2. For the total ozone, the TROPOMI near-real time (NRTI) and offline (OFFL) products are derived using two different retrieval algorithms. On one hand, ozone columns are produced with the DOAS-type GDP algorithm, which follows the requirements of a near-real time processing. On the other hand, the offline product is based on the direct-fitting algorithm GODFITv4 in order to ensure consistency with long-term total ozone Climate Data Records (CDR). During pre-launch activities, GODFIT has been successfully



implemented within the operational ground-segment, which provides offline total ozone retrievals about 4 days after sensing. Corresponding documentation is given in the total ozone ATBD [RD-41] and the Product User Manual [RD-46]. From the level-2 perspective, the integration of the TROPOMI product within the GTO-ECV data record requires only a verification of its consistency with the existing CCI/C3S data sets.

Figure 2 shows comparisons of the TROPOMI offline total ozone product v01.01 with the CCI/C3S OMI, OMPS, GOME-2A and GOME-2B data sets. For each of those sensors, relative differences are shown as a function of altitude and time. The differences are also shown for all sensors when averaged either in time or in latitude (50°S - 50°N). The level of consistency with the other data sets is excellent at low and mid-altitudes with differences less than 0.5% and no visible drift. At larger latitudes, differences slightly increase but remain within GCOS requirements ($\pm 3\%$). Also those high latitudes differences significantly depend on the reference instrument and no clear pattern can be directly attributed to TROPOMI. First validation results of the TROPOMI total ozone offline product have also shown that it is of high quality, with bias compared to ground-based instruments less than 1% and that no significant dependence with respect to key quantities could be identified [RD-18]. Those different findings confirm that the TROPOMI L2 total ozone data set can be combined with the other instruments to produce and extend the L3 GTO-ECV record. Note that a new TROPOMI L1 version is currently under preparation, which could influence slightly the presented comparisons. The impact of this new L1 data set on the quality of the total ozone retrievals will need to be investigated when available.






Figure 2: Comparison of the TROPOMI OFFL total product v01.01 with other CCI/C3S data sets. The 4 upper panels show mean relative differences with respect to OMI, OMPS, GOME-2A and GOME-2B as a function of the time and latitude. The two lowest panels summarize those comparisons by showing the time dependence of the mean differences in the latitude band [50°S-50°N] and the latitude dependence of those mean differences by agglomerating the full time series.

2.1.2 Total ozone retrievals from GOME-2/Metop-C

The third GOME-2 instrument aboard the Metop-C platform has been launched in November 2018 to continue the series of mid-morning instruments flying on polar sun-synchronous orbit. As it is conceptually the same as its two predecessors, producing total ozone data from GOME-2C mostly requires adaptation of input data (e.g. cross-section) and possibly fine-tuning of the retrieval settings to optimize the consistency with other data sets. As aforementioned, a soft-calibration procedure is applied to the spectra of GOME-2A and -2B. Therefore, the need for the application of this procedure on the GOME-2C spectra is to be investigated. For this purpose, a preliminary



process of the available L1 data has been carried out with GODFITv4 without any soft-calibration. Figure 3 shows relative total ozone differences with respect to the columns measured by TROPOMI as a function of time and latitude in the left panel. The right panel shows the time dependence of those differences binned in different latitude bands. Overall, the differences are quite small ($<1\%$) for most latitudes. Nevertheless, they slightly increase at higher latitudes during the local winter season. Although such larger differences are usually observed in those regions, the low bias observed for GOME-2C might be a bit more important at high solar zenith angles. Despite this concerns a limited range of geophysical conditions, we will further investigate this during the second year of the project to better evaluate the level of consistency with the other data sets and draw conclusions on the possible needs for retrieval optimization and/or for L1b soft-calibration.




Figure 3: Comparison of the preliminary GOME-2C GODFITv4 total ozone product with the TROPOMI OFFL total product v01. The left panel shows mean relative differences as a function of time and latitude. The right panel shows the time dependence of mean differences in different latitude bands.



2.2 GOME-type Total Ozone - Essential Climate Variable (GTO-ECV) (DLR)

2.2.1 Total ozone L3 algorithm

The individual level-2 total ozone observations as described in Sect. 2.1 are converted into a level-3 product, i.e. they are mapped onto a regular global grid of $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ in latitude and longitude to construct daily averages for each sensor. Each grid cell contains an average of all level-2 data from the same day, whose center coordinates fall in the respective grid cell.

2.2.2 Total ozone merging algorithm

Before combining the individual gridded daily level-3 data into a merged product, adjustments are made in order to account for possible biases and drifts between the instruments. OMI measurements serve as a baseline for the inter-sensor calibration. Their long-term stability with respect to ground-based observation data is remarkable [RD-17] and the periods of overlap with the other sensors are sufficiently long, i.e. at least 5 years. The calculation of the correction factors for GOME, SCIAMACHY, GOME-2A, and GOME-2B with respect to OMI is based on a comparison of 1° zonal monthly means during their overlap periods. The correction factors depend on latitude and time and they are applied to the daily level-3 data. Subsequently, the adjusted data sets are combined into one single record. All available daily measurements (weighted by the number of measurements per day and grid box for the corresponding sensor) are averaged. GOME and SCIAMACHY data are included until December 2004. Finally, monthly means are computed taking into account the latitudinal constraints as defined in Table xxx, in order to provide representative averages that contain a sufficient number of measurements equally distributed over time (see also [RD-14]).

Month	Latitudes	Month	Latitudes
January	60.0°N – 90.0°S	July	90.0°N – 57.5°S
February	70.0°N – 90.0°S	August	90.0°N – 62.5°S
March	80.0°N – 80.0°S	September	82.5°N – 72.5°S
April	90.0°N – 65.0°S	October	72.5°N – 85.5°S
May	90.0°N – 60.0°S	November	65.0°N – 90.0°S
June	90.0°N – 57.5°S	December	60.0°N – 90.0°S

2.2.3 GTO-ECV extension backward in time

For extending the GTO-ECV data record backward in time, we select the Adjusted-MERRA-2 reanalysis data set as described in [RD-15]. It covers the period from 1980 to 2018. We compare 5° zonal monthly means of GTO-ECV and Adjusted-MERRA-2 during the overlap period 1995-2018 and compute correction factors for the Adjusted-MERRA-2 data in order to calibrate them with respect to GTO-ECV. The correction reduces potential biases between both data records. It depends on latitude and month (January, February, ...) and is applied to the entire Adjusted-



MERRA-2 data set starting in 1980. Finally, both data records are combined; we use the calibrated Adjusted-MERRA-2 from 1980 through June 1995 and GTO-ECV from July 1995 to present.



2.3 Multi-Sensor-Reanalysis scheme (KNMI)

2.3.1 Introduction MSR algorithm

A single coherent total ozone dataset, called the Multi Sensor Reanalysis (MSR), has been created from all available ozone column data measured by polar orbiting satellites in the near-ultraviolet Huggins band in the last thirty years. All available total ozone satellite retrieval datasets have been used in the MSR. As first step a bias correction scheme is applied to all satellite observations, based on independent ground-based total ozone data from the World Ozone and Ultraviolet Data Center. The correction is a function of solar zenith angle, viewing angle, time (trend), and effective ozone temperature. As second step data assimilation was applied to create a global dataset of total ozone analyses. The data assimilation method is a sub-optimal implementation of the Kalman filter technique, and is based on a chemical transport model driven by ECMWF meteorological fields. The chemical transport model provides a detailed description of (stratospheric) transport and uses parameterisations for gas-phase and ozone hole chemistry. The MSR dataset is available on a grid of a 0.5x0.5 degrees with a sample frequency of 6 hours for the complete time period.

Constructing the MSR level 2 data set

Creating a consistent and coherent assimilated dataset of use for trend studies requires that systematic offsets of each one of the satellite retrieval products is small. A practical way to accomplish this is to choose a reference dataset which is available for the full reanalysis period, and subsequently correct the systematic effects in the satellite datasets to bring them in line with this reference. As reference we use the ground measurements from Brewer and Dobson monitoring stations, which are present for the full 30-year period.

First of all, these ground measurements will also show biases, depending on geometry, meteorological variability and ozone profile. The direct Sun measurement method used by the Brewer instruments is very sensitive to small details in the ozone absorption cross section, and the various available laboratory measurements of the ozone absorption coefficients give totally different dependencies of the retrieved total ozone values as function of the effective ozone temperature [RD-34]. Kerr (2002) [RD-22] has developed a new methodology for deriving total ozone and effective ozone temperature values from the observations made with a Brewer instrument. He concludes that the effective ozone temperature has little effect on the amount of ozone derived with the standard algorithm. So in this study the data from the Brewer network has been adopted as a primary reference. The Dobson data compared to Brewer data show a temperature dependence. Therefore, the Dobson data has been corrected for effective ozone temperature and added to this reference data set.



For each satellite product an “overpass” dataset has been created for each ground instrument in our list. The overpass value for an orbit is the satellite observation that has the centre of its footprint closest to the ground station. For each satellite product a maximum allowed distance between the centre of the ground pixel and the ground station was defined. This number is typically 50-200 km depending on the ground pixel size. Apart from the local date/time and the total ozone value, auxiliary data is also recorded, like the measurement error, the Solar Zenith Angle (SZA), the Viewing Zenith Angle (VZA), cloud properties and the distance from the centre of the footprint to the ground station. From all the overpasses each day only one is selected and used. This is the one with the smallest reported observation error or the one closest to the ground station if the observation error is not available.

For the purpose of data assimilation it is relevant to reduce offsets, trends and long-term variations in the satellite data, so that the data can be used as input to the assimilation scheme without biases and with known standard deviations. The satellite data set corrections are based on a few relevant regression coefficients fitted for the overpass time series of all stations together. By fitting all data together regional biases that may be caused by offsets of individual ground instruments are avoided.

The ozone differences (satellite minus ground observation) show a clear seasonal cycle. This led to the choice of SZA and effective ozone temperature as fit parameter, as these imply a clear seasonal component. Some of the satellite products show a clear trend in time, so date/time is another obvious choice. The Viewing Zenith Angle (VZA) is also used as fit parameter. These are all critical parameters in the retrieval schemes and therefore constitute a satisfying choice to estimate systematic biases. A ground-station dependent offset was allowed when the regression coefficients were computed. This has been done to reduce the effect (e.g. spurious trends) of “appearing” and “disappearing” ground stations during the lifetime of the satellite instrument from the results. Thus, the total number of fit parameters is in the order of 150 per satellite dataset. A basic assumption is that all the corrections are additive to the total ozone amount.

Based on the calculated corrections the merged MSR level 2 dataset has been created. The original satellite datasets were read, filtered for bad data and corrected, and finally merged into a single time ordered dataset. Essential information in the MSR level 2 dataset is time, location, satellite product index and ozone.

Data assimilation

The satellite instrument observations are combined with meteorological, chemical and dynamical knowledge of the atmosphere by using data assimilation. The data assimilation scheme used here is called TM3DAM and is described in Eskes et al. (2003). The chemistry-transport model used in this data assimilation is a simplified version of TM5 [RD-24], which is driven by ECMWF analyses of wind, pressure and temperature fields. As input the MSR ozone values and the estimates of the measurement uncertainty are used.



The three-dimensional advection of ozone is described by the flux-based second order moments scheme of Prather et al. (1986) [RD-32]. The model is driven by 6-hourly meteorological fields (wind, surface pressure, and temperature) of the medium-range meteorological analyses of the ECMWF. The assimilation is using the ERA interim or ERA5 reanalysis whenever available. The ECMWF hybrid layers between 0.01 hPa and the surface have been converted into the 44 layers used in TM3DAM. The horizontal resolution of the model version used in this study is 1 x 1 degrees. This resolution is compensated by the practically non-diffusive Prather scheme (with 10 explicit ozone tracers for each grid cell), which allows the model to produce ozone features with a fair amount of detail.

Ozone chemistry in the stratosphere is described by the Cariolle version 2.9 parameterisation [RD-11]. This consists of a linearization of the gas-phase chemistry with respect to production and loss, the ozone amount, temperature and UV radiation. In addition, a second parameterization scheme accounts for heterogeneous ozone loss. This scheme introduces a three-dimensional chlorine activation tracer, which is formed when the temperature drops below the critical temperature of polar stratospheric cloud formation. Ozone breakdown occurs in the presence of the chlorine activation tracer, depending on the presence of sunlight. The rate of ozone decrease is described by an exponential decay, with a rate proportional to the amount of activation tracer below the critical temperature and with a minimal decay time of 12 days. The cold tracer is deactivated when light is present with a time scale of respectively 5 and 10 days on the Northern and Southern hemisphere.

The total ozone data are assimilated in TM3DAM by applying a parameterized Kalman filter technique. In this approach the forecast error covariance matrix is written as a product of a time independent correlation matrix and a time-dependent diagonal variance. The various parameters in the approach are fixed and are based on the forecast minus observation statistics accumulated over the period of one year (2000) using GOME observations. This approach produces detailed and realistic time- and space-dependent forecast error estimates, which is included in the MSR level 4 product.

More details of the MSR algorithm can be found in [RD-43] and [RD-42].

2.3.2 Algorithm update to extend the MSR data set into the past

Heterogeneous chemistry

An EESC dependent heterogeneous chemical destruction of stratospheric ozone factor has been incorporated within the exponent of the ozone depletion term within the Braesicke scheme.

The EESC correction factor f_{CFC} is calculated according

$$F_{\text{CFC}} = (\text{EESC}/\text{EESC}_{\text{max}})^2 \text{ where } \text{EESC}_{\text{max}} = 4092.8508$$



The EESC values are derived in [RD-29] and are calculated for the period 1957-2020.

Solar Zenith Angle dependence of photolysis factor

A linear correction factor f_{SZA} for photolysis during twilight has been derived. The twilight is the zone with a Solar Zenith Angle (SZA) between 85 and 94 degree.

$$f_{\text{SZA}} = (94.0 - \text{SZA}) / (94.0 - 85.0) \text{ for SZA between } 84.0\text{-}95.0 \text{ degree}$$

This factor has been multiplied with the chlorine activation term.

Error correlation length

For sparse observations it is likely that the error correlation length in the spatial distribution of assimilated ozone is different. Therefore the correlation length is determined by assimilating ozone using only sparse Dobson observations in 2017. The resulting ozone has been compared with the MSR2 ozone distribution that represent the “true” ozone distribution. From analysing these datasets we conclude that the correlation length is about 800 km.



3 Nadir Profile ECV Retrieval Algorithms

3.1 RAL nadir profile ECV retrieval algorithms (RAL)

The RAL profile scheme applied to GOME, GOME-2, SCIAMACHY and OMI within the CCI and C3S is described in ATBDs [RD-46], [RD-45], as well as [RD-27], [RD-35] and [RD-27]. The scheme is also currently being developed for application to Sentinel 4 and 5 via ESA contracts and documented via related ATBDs [RD-38] and [RD-36]. In general, the scheme applies the optimal estimation approach to retrieve the ozone profile in two main steps:

1. “B1 fit”: Fit ozone profile to the sun-normalised radiance in the Harley band (in GOME Band 1) from 265-307nm. This provides information mainly on the stratospheric profile and requires good absolute calibration of the sun-normalised radiance spectra. Soft calibration of the B1 L1 radiances is usually required (i.e. radiances are corrected based on differences between L1 radiances and calculated spectra based on radiative transfer calculations from prior knowledge of the ozone distribution).
2. “B2 fit”: Add information on tropospheric ozone from the Huggins bands (320-340nm) using the result from step 1) as prior constraint. This requires fitting of differential structure to precision better than 0.1% (close to the noise level) to allow the ozone absorption cross-section temperature dependence to be exploited for tropospheric information (though the requirements on absolute radiometric calibration are less stringent). Extremely good knowledge of the instrument spectral response function is critical for this step.

Work within CCI+ focuses on the application of the algorithm to new sensors GOME-2 Metop C and S5P. For S5P several advances made for Sentinel 4 and 5 will be exploited, in particular:

- The core radiative transfer model is LIDORT [RD-39].
- The speed of the forward model is greatly increased using a “PCFM” approach which requires the core RTM to be run for only a few monochromatic spectral points.
- The B1 uv soft-calibration approach will be based on that developed for S5 [RD-36], based on calculations based on ERA5 meteorology and ozone climatology.
- A new approach to mitigate scene inhomogeneity effects on the spectral response function will be tested using an approach developed for S4 [RD-38].



3.2 IASI FORLI Ozone profile retrieval algorithm (ULB)

The IASI ozone profile data product is based on the FORLI (Fast Optimal/Operational Retrieval on Layers for IASI) algorithm. FORLI is a line-by-line radiative transfer model capable of processing in near-real-time the numerous radiance measurements made by the high-spatial and high-spectral resolution IASI, with the objective to provide global concentration distributions of atmospheric trace gases.

The FORLI-O₃ v20151001 product was developed and validated during the Ozone_cci Phase-II and constitutes the reference product from 1st October 2007 to 11 December 2019. That IASI/Metop-A FORLI-O₃ dataset has been extensively validated in [RD-10] and [RD-21].

An updated FORLI-O₃ v20191122 is now used for the processing of the IASI dataset from 12 December 2019 till present. The FORLI-O₃ datasets processed with FORLI-O₃ v20151001 and v20191122 for IASI-A, -B and -C can be downloaded from the Aeris portal at: <http://iasi.aeris-data.fr/O3/>. The backprocessing of the whole IASI dataset with the last v20191122 is ongoing and will be archived on the Aeris portal in the future.

This part describes the methods used for FORLI (most is extracted from [RD-20]) and is an update of the Ozone_cci ATBD Phase-II. We refer the readers to the Ozone_cci ATBD Phase-II when the section remains unchanged.

3.2.1 Basic retrieval equations

Refer to the Ozone_cci_ATBD_Phase2_V2

3.2.2 Assumptions, grid and sequence of operations

Spectral ranges

FORLI-O₃ (v20151001 and v20191122) uses the Level1C radiances disseminated by EumetCast. A subset of the spectral range, covering 1025–1075 cm⁻¹, is used for the O₃ retrieval. The spectral range used in the forward model is 960-1075 cm⁻¹ and the spectral oversampling is 100.

Vertical grid

FORLI-O₃ uses a vertical altitude grid in km with 1-km tick layers as discretization of the atmosphere

Ozone state vector

The ozone product from FORLI is a profile retrieved on 40 1km-thick layers between surface and 40 km, with an extra layer from 40 to TOA considered at 60 km.



The *a priori* profile \mathbf{x}_a covariance matrix \mathbf{S}_a are constructed from the McPeters/Labow/Logan climatology of ozone profiles (McPeters et al., 2007), which combines long term satellite limb measurements (from the Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment II and the Microwave Limb Sounder) and measurements from ozone sondes. The *a priori* profile \mathbf{x}_a is the mean of the ensemble. Fig. 1 illustrates this *a priori* information: the *a priori* profile \mathbf{x}_a has values slowly increasing from around 25 ppbv at the surface to 100 ppbv at 10km, reaching a maximum of 7.3 ppmv in the middle stratosphere. The variability (taken hereafter as the square root of the variance, i.e. of the diagonal elements of \mathbf{S}_a) is below 30% in the boundary layer and the free troposphere; it is maximum in the upper troposphere–lower stratosphere, between 10 and 20 km, where it is of the order of 60%. There is significant correlations between the concentrations in the layers 0–10, 10–25 and 25–40 km, but weak correlation between these three (Fig.8).

Other state vector elements

Besides the ozone profile, surface temperature and the water vapour column are retrieved.

Measurement covariance matrix

\mathbf{S}_η is taken diagonal. The value of the noise is wavenumber dependent in the spectral range used for the retrieval, varying around $2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W}/(\text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{cm}^{-1} \cdot \text{sr})$.




Fig. 8. Left: x_a (ppmv, blue line) and associated variance (shaded blue) for the FORLI-O3. The dashed red line indicates the top altitude of the last retrieved layer. Right: correlations and S_a variance–covariance matrices in unitless multiplicative factor. (from Hurtmans et al. 2012)



3.2.3 Iterations and convergence

Refer to Ozone_cci_ATBD_Phase2_V2

3.2.4 Forward model

Atmospheric state input to the RTM

L1C radiances

FORLI-O₃ uses the Level1C radiances disseminated by EumetCast. A subset of the spectral range, covering 1025–1075 cm⁻¹, is used for the O₃ retrieval.

Temperature and humidity profiles

Profiles of temperature and humidity are from the IASI L2 PPF (August et al., 2012). The atmospheric temperatures are kept fixed whereas the water profile is used as a priori and further adjusted.

Surface temperature

Surface temperatures (land and sea) are from the IASI L2 PPF. Surface temperature is part of the parameters to be retrieved.

Cloud fraction

FORLI-O₃ uses the cloud fraction from the IASI L2 PPF. All pixels with a cloud fraction equal to or lower than 13 % are processed.

CO₂ profile

FORLI-O₃ v20151001 assumes a constant vertical profile at 380 ppm for CO₂, while the new FORLI-O₃ v20191122 considers time-varying CO₂ concentrations according to the Keeling curve.

Orography

Orography is from the GTOPO30 global digital elevation model and is integrated in the entire IASI FOV (http://eros.usgs.gov/#/Find_Data/Products_and_Data_Available/gtopo30_info).

Emissivity

A wavenumber-dependent surface emissivity above continental surfaces is used while for ocean a single standard emissivity is considered. For continental surfaces, it relies on the climatology of Zhou et al. (2011). In cases of missing values in the Zhou et al. climatology, the MODIS climatology of Wan (2008) is used. It is available on a finer 0.05° × 0.05° grid but is restricted to only 12 channels in the IASI spectral range. In order to deal with this, the spectrally resolved mean emissivity of the Zhou climatology is scaled to match as closely as possible the values in these 12 channels and it is this resulting emissivity that is considered. Finally when there is no correspondence between the IASI FOV and either climatologies, then the mean emissivity of the Zhou climatology is used.



Lookup-tables

Tabulated absorption cross-sections at various pressures and temperatures are used to speed up the radiative transfer calculation. The spectral range for the LUTs used in FORLI-O₃ is 960-1075 cm⁻¹ and the spectral oversampling is 100. The absorption cross-sections are computed on a logarithmic grid for pressure from 4.5×10⁻⁵ to 1 atm with a grid step of 0.2 for the logarithm of pressure, and on a linear grid for temperature (162.8–322.6 K with a grid step of 5K). Relative humidity is also introduced in the LUT, varying linearly between 0 and 100%, by steps of 10%.

The FORLI-O₃ v20191122 has undergone corrections in the computation of the look-up tables.

Spectroscopy

Line integrated absorption cross section, air broadening, self-broadening, line shifting and absorption cross section data are taken from the widely used HITRAN spectroscopic database version 2008 (Rothman et al., 2009). Continuum formulations are taken from MT-CKD (Clough et al., 2005).

The last FORLI version (v20191122) uses the Hitran database update to the latest available version with largely corrected CO line intensities and positions and also updates for HNO₃, as well as MT-CKD update and the related use of Line-Mixing for CO₂ lines.

Note that other corrections have also been implemented in FORLI-O₃ v20191122: altitudes computation, correct usage of humidity ...

Radiative Transfer Model (RTM)

Refer to Ozone_cci_ATBD_Phase2 for a full description of the RTM (general formulations and numerical approximations).

3.2.5 Error description

Refer to Ozone_cci_ATBD_Phase2.

3.2.6 Output product description

Formats

The FORLI-O₃ retrieval datasets for IASI-A, -B and -C processed with FORLI-O₃ v20151001 from 1st October 2007 to 11 December 2019 and processed with FORLI-O₃ v20191122 from 12 December 2019 till present will be delivered in NetCDF (v4) format and can be downloaded from the AERIS portal at: <http://iasi.aeris-data.fr/O3/>.



Ozone profile and characterization

The ozone product from FORLI is a profile retrieved on 40 layers between surface and 40 km, with an extra layer from 40 km to the top of the atmosphere (TOA) considered at 60 km. The dataset includes O₃ total columns along with vertical profiles. It also includes other relevant information such as the a priori profile, the total error profile and the averaging kernel (AK) matrix, on the same vertical grid.

3.2.7 Retrievals and Quality flags

A series of Quality input and processing flags were applied to the FORLI-O₃ datasets (v20151001 and v20191122) to exclude bad quality data, specifically when:

- (i) The input values (T, Q, Cloud) are missing, or in case of negative surface altitudes or unrealistic skin temperature
- (ii) The spectral fit residual root mean square error (RMS) is higher than $3.5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W}/(\text{cm}^2 \text{ sr cm}^{-1})$, reflecting a too large difference between observed and simulated radiances
- (iii) The spectral fit residual bias is lower than $-0.75 \times 10^{-9} \text{ W}/(\text{cm}^2 \text{ sr cm}^{-1})$ or higher than $1.25 \times 10^{-9} \text{ W}/(\text{cm}^2 \text{ sr cm}^{-1})$
- (iv) The spectral fit residual is sloped
- (v) The partial O₃ column or the humidity is negative
- (vi) There were abnormal averaging kernel values
- (vii) The spectral fit diverged or reached a fixed maximum number of iterations without converging, or the Chi-Square value is too high
- (viii) The total error covariance matrix is ill conditioned.
- (ix) No retrieval is done due to incorrect inputs or other reasons

For an optimal use of the data (e.g. for validation application), users should also exclude data when:

- (i) The O₃ profiles have an unrealistic C-shape (i.e. abnormal increase in O₃ at the surface, e.g. over desert due to emissivity issue), with a ratio of the surface – 6 km column to the total column higher or equal to 0.085
- (ii) The DOFS is lower than 2, which are mostly associated with bad quality data in the Antarctic region.

The IASI/Metop-A, -B and -C O₃ dataset are provided on the Aeris portal on the global scale from October 2007 till present.



3.3 Combined uv/vis/thermal-ir retrieval algorithm (RAL)

3.3.1 Overview

Within CCI+ (mainly in year 2) RAL will investigate improve the information content of the GOME-2 nadir ozone profiles by adding information from (i) the visible Chappuis band (also measured by GOME-2) and (ii) thermal IR measurements by IASI (ii). The approach is to combine information from independent level 2 (L2) retrievals from the different spectral ranges, i.e. adopting a so-called “L2-L2” combination approach. Sections below described the Chappuis and IR retrieval schemes to be employed, following by an overview of the L2 combination methodology.

3.3.2 Chappuis retrieval scheme

The potential advantages of using the Chappuis bands (440-700nm) are well known [RD-12]: The Chappuis absorption is generally optically thin with very little temperature dependence. There is consequently little sensitivity to vertical profile shape (only total column information can be retrieved from the Chappuis band alone). However it can complement the uv measurements of total ozone because near-ground sensitivity to ozone in the Huggins bands is limited by the typically low surface reflectance and Rayleigh / aerosol scattering. In the Chappuis range, the albedo over land is typically larger and Rayleigh scattering much reduced, so that a larger proportion of the observed photons will have passed through the lowest atmospheric layers. Extracting the signal from the Chappuis bands is complicated by the fact that the amplitude of differential structures (which are exploited by DOAS techniques) are relatively low and have a relatively broad band structure compared to the features in the Huggins bands. Such features may be easily confused with a number of other effects e.g. instrumental artefacts (such as polarisation sensitivity, aliasing of spatial/spectral structure due to non-synchronous detector pixel read-out) and spectral variations in surface reflectance. In addition, there are contaminating spectral features from water vapour (H₂O), oxygen dimer (O₄) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂). Although Rayleigh scattering is reduced, the Ring effect (due to inelastic scattering by air molecules) is still significant. Inelastic scattering at the ocean surface is also important over sea, although in conditions where this is important, the information content of the Chappuis bands is less useful (as the ocean surface reflection is very low).

Via UK National Centre for Earth Observation (NCEO) funded work (to be consolidated in the coming months) two approaches have been developed to extract the total (slant) column of ozone from the Chappuis: (1) A DOAS based approach, which has a directly physical basis. This involves fitting absorption cross sections for all relevant gases and scale factors for terms related to known surface spectral variability and other known effects (Ring effect, spectral shifts, instrument polarisation features etc); (2) A statistical approach based on regressing the Chappuis band measurements to the ozone slant column retrieved from the Huggins bands. This involves determine singular vectors of the Chappuis band spectral variability which is uncorrelated with the total ozone variability enabling total ozone to be retrieved along with spatial patterns which are related to other physical variables. In both cases the slant column of ozone is estimated. The



sensitivity of the slant column to height resolved perturbations in ozone can be calculated, forming effectively the averaging kernel of the retrieved slant column with respect to changes in ozone profile. This information can then be combined with the uv retrieval using the L2-L2 approach outlined below.

Further details of the consolidated approach will be given in the next version of this ATBD.

3.3.3 RAL Infra-red / Microwave sounder retrievals

Also within NCEO and supported by Eumetsat studies, RAL have developed an infra-red microwave sounder (IMS) scheme which retrieves ozone profiles along with temperature, humidity and other atmospheric variables from Metop IASI, AMSU and MHS. Products from the scheme have been validated in CCI+ Water Vapour and will be used to generate a combined limb/nadir sounder product in that project. The scheme is described in the CCI+ water vapour ATBD [RD-37], though the current version of the scheme uses an extended set of channels to improve the sensitivity to the ozone profile. The scheme is based on optimal estimation and provides all the necessary information to enable L2-L2 combination with the uv profiles as described below.

3.3.4 L2-L2 Combination

The L2-L2 combination is implemented by posing the problem as a linear retrieval in which we wish to optimally estimate a profile by combining the information contained in different retrievals. The approach should work for a retrieval of any property linearly related to the profile e.g. (sub-)column amounts or mixing ratio profiles on a coarsely sampled vertical grid (provided the transformation to a fine grid is clearly defined). For the approach to work, it is assumed that averaging kernels are provided (or can be constructed) for all input retrievals, with respect to fine scale perturbations in the true profile (defined in the same units on the same finely resolved grid).

We choose to represent the (output, optimised) profile in a flexible way on a fine vertical grid using N basis functions (this can be different from the way the input retrievals represent the profile):

$$\mathbf{r}(z) = o(z) + \sum_{i=1,N} x_i B_i(z)$$

Equation 1

Where $o(z)$ is an “offset” profile (which can be considered as the new prior profile on the fine grid). $B(z)$ are a set of suitable basis functions (e.g. triangular functions representing linear interpolation from a coarse vertical grid, or principal components of the assumed profile variability etc). The N element vector \mathbf{x} contains state vector elements to be retrieved.



Alternatively, considering vector \mathbf{r} to describe the profile on a finely resolved vertical grid:

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{o} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}$$

Equation 2

Where B is a matrix containing the N basis functions.

The optimal \mathbf{x} (and hence \mathbf{r}) can be obtained using the input retrievals as the “measurements” for an OEM retrieval. These are contained in a measurement vector, \mathbf{y} . We can relate each to \mathbf{x} , using the averaging kernels as the forward model function:

$$y_j = \mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{aj}) + a_j$$

Equation 3

Where index j represents a specific sub-column amount (from TIR or SWIR). \mathbf{A}_j is the averaging kernel (vector) for this sub-column (from the TIR or SWIR retrieval), describing the derivative of the sub-column with respect to perturbations *on the fine grid*. \mathbf{r}_{aj} is the (finely resolved) prior profile used in the previous (input) retrieval and a_j is the corresponding prior sub-column amount.

Substituting for \mathbf{r} gives

$$y_j = \mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{o} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}_{aj}) + a_j$$

Equation 4

Using this (linear) forward model for $F(\mathbf{x})$, \mathbf{x} can be estimated via minimisation of the usual cost function, χ^2 , i.e.

$$\chi^2 = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a)^T \mathbf{S}_a^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a) + (\mathbf{y} - F(\mathbf{x}))^T \mathbf{S}_e^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - F(\mathbf{x})),$$

Equation 5

Where the measurement covariance \mathbf{S}_e contains the estimated error covariances of the (TIR+SWIR) subcolumns in \mathbf{y} . *A priori* covariance \mathbf{S}_a describes the estimated prior errors on the state vector (i.e. the basis function weights). In principle these are defined to represent realistic prior knowledge in the profile, though tuning to match the information content of the joint retrieval is likely to be needed in practise. The prior state itself, \mathbf{x}_a , is typically a vector of 0s, since the state describes increments to the offset profile, \mathbf{o} .

Since the forward model is linear, the solution which minimises the cost function is given by

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x}_a + \left(\mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{S}_e^{-1} \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{S}_a^{-1} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{S}_e^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - F(\mathbf{x}_a))$$

Equation 6

where weighting function matrix \mathbf{K} is the derivative of the forward model with respect to the state parameters, i.e.

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}$$

**Equation 7**

Where \mathbf{A} is the matrix containing the averaging kernels of the input retrieved amounts with respect to the fine scale profile (columns are \mathbf{A}_j in Equation 4). Note that (assuming linearity), using the averaging kernel equation as the forward model operator, effectively removes the influence of the original retrievals prior constraint on the joint retrieval (this is effectively replaced by the new prior).

Given the solution state, it is trivial to compute the corresponding high-resolution version of the profile, \mathbf{r} (Equation 2). Sub-column amounts for specific layers can then be calculated from that profile. This step can be carried out by matrix multiplication by matrix, \mathbf{M} , which contains the weights needed to integrated the profile to a set of sub-column amounts, such that

$$\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{r}$$

Equation 8

Via the usual OEM equations, the total a posteriori errors on $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ are described by covariance

$$\mathbf{S}_x = (\mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{S}_e^{-1} \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{S}_a^{-1})^{-1}$$

Equation 9

The (non-square) averaging kernel for $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$, giving derivatives of the solution with respect to *fine-scale* perturbations in the profile is given by

$$\mathbf{A}_x = \mathbf{S}_x \mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{S}_e^{-1} \mathbf{A}$$

Equation 10

(\mathbf{A} , without subscript, is the derivative of the input retrievals with respect to fine-scale perturbations in the true profile).

The (square) averaging kernel for the output fine scale profile is:

$$\mathbf{A}_r = \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A}_x$$

Equation 11

The (non-square) averaging kernel for the derived sub-columns (with respect to fine scale perturbations in the profile) is

$$\mathbf{A}_s = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{A}_r$$

Equation 12

Errors on the retrieval profile and sub-columns are described by covariances:

$$\mathbf{S}_r = \mathbf{B} \mathbf{S}_x \mathbf{B}^T$$



Equation 13

$$\mathbf{S}_s = (\mathbf{M}\mathbf{B})\mathbf{S}_x(\mathbf{M}\mathbf{B})^T$$

Equation 14

(The noise and smoothing error covariances can be similarly derived starting from the usual OEM expression for these matrices for the state vector $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$).



4 Limb profile ECV Retrieval / Merging Algorithm

4.1 HARMONized dataset of OZone profiles (HARMOZ) (Bremen)

The concept of the HARMONized dataset of OZone profiles (HARMOZ) is based on limb and occultation measurements has been developed in the Ozone_CCI project (Sofieva et al., 2013). HARMOZ consists of original retrieved ozone profiles from each satellite instrument, which are screened for invalid data by the instrument teams. While the original ozone profiles are presented in different units and on different vertical grids, the harmonized dataset is given on a common vertical grid in netcdf-4 format. The vertical range of the ozone profiles is specific for each instrument, thus all information contained in the original data is preserved. Provided altitude and temperature profiles allow the representation of ozone profiles in number density or mixing ratio on a pressure or altitude vertical grids. Geolocation, uncertainty estimates and vertical resolution are provided for each profile. For each instrument, optional parameters, which are related to the data quality, are also included.

In the CCI project, two versions of the HARMOZ datasets are developed and created: altitude-gridded (HARMOZ_ALT) and pressure-gridded (HARMOZ_PRS) ozone concentration datasets. The vertical sampling of HARMOZ_ALT profiles is 1 km. For HARMOZ_PRS, the pressure grid corresponds to vertical sampling of ~1 km below 20 km and 2-3 km above 20 km. The information about the available HARMOZ datasets is collected in Table 4.1. The datasets, which are developed or extended in CCI + project, are highlighted.

Table 4.1 Information about the HARMOZ_ALT and HARMOZ_PRS dataset

Instrument/ satellite	Level processor	2	Years	Vertical range	Retrieval vertical coordinate
MIPAS/Envisat	KIT/IAA V7R_O3_240		2002-2012	6-70 km/400- 0.05 hPa	altitude
SCIAMACHY/Envisat	UBr v3.5		2002-2012	5-65 km/250 – 0.05 hPa	altitude
GOMOS/Envisat	ALGOM2s v1		2002-2011	10-105 km/250- 10 ⁻⁴ hPa	altitude
GOMOS bright limb/ Envisat	GBL v1.2		2002-2011	10-59 km/70 – 0.2 hPa	altitude
POAM III/SPOT 4	NASA, v4		1998-2005	6-55 km /500 - 0.1 hPa	altitude
SAGE III Meteor 3M	NASA, AO3 v4		2002-2005	6-60 km /500 - 0.05 hPa	altitude
OSIRIS/Odin	USask v5.10		2001-2019	10-59 km/450 - 0.1 hPa	altitude
ACE-FTS/SCISAT	UoT v3.5/3.6		2004-2019	10-94 km/450 - 2 · 10 ⁻⁴ hPa	altitude
OMPS-LP/Suomi-NPP	USask 2D v1.1.0		2012-2019	6-59 km/500 - 0.1 hPa	altitude
MLS/Aura	NASA_JPL v4.2		2004-2019	6-75 km /500 - 0.02 hPa	pressure
SABER/Timed	NASA_GATS v2		2002-2019	12-105 km/400- 1 · 10 ⁻⁴ hPa	pressure
SAGE III ISS	NASA, AO3 v5.1		2017-2019	6-60 km /500 - 0.05 hPa	altitude



The dataset is available at http://dx.doi.org/10.5270/esa-ozon_cci-limb_occultation_profiles-2001_2012-v_1-201308.



4.2 OMPS Retrieval Schemes (Bremen)

4.2.1 OMPS-LP NASA retrieval algorithm version 2.5

The NASA Environmental Data Record algorithm to retrieve ozone profiles from OMPS-LP measurements is based on the optimal estimation approach. Several versions of the retrieval algorithm were released starting from April 2012; the most recent version 2.5 is described in Kramarova et al. (2018). In this version, to improve the stability of the retrieval, a correlation radius of 5 km was introduced in the a priori covariance matrix instead of the previously employed Tikhonov parameter. To simulate limb radiance, the Gauss-Siedel radiative transfer model is used and the Bass and Paur ozone cross section selected.

The algorithm is designed to retrieve ozone independently from two spectral ranges: the UV region between 28.5 and 52.5 km and the Chappuis band between 12.5 (or cloud top height) and 37.5 km. The doublet and triplet method is used to obtain the measurement vector, respectively, for the Hartley-Huggins and Chappuis bands. Wavelengths characterized by a strong ozone absorption are paired with weak absorbing ones. The normalization of the radiance is performed using an upper tangent height measurement: 55.5 km in the UV and 40.5 km in the Vis. An additional TH correction is applied by NASA on L1 gridded data, as described in Kramarova et al. (2018). A new algorithm was developed to detect the cloud top height (Chen et al. 2016) and accordingly filter out underneath THs. In addition, aerosol extinction profiles are currently derived for each measurement, replacing the climatology profiles used in the previous versions, as described in Loughmann et al. (2018).

Only the central slit of the instrument is considered and currently provided in L2 NASA product, because of the uncertainties in the pointing and stray light issues affecting the two side slits.

4.2.2 OMPS-LP IUP retrieval algorithm version 2

In the UBR-IUP retrieval version five spectral segments are selected: three in the Hartley and Huggins bands and two in the visible spectral range. We have to take into account the presence of water vapor and O₂ absorption features in the Chappuis band used for the lowest altitude range (*) so that wavelengths in the intervals 585-605 nm and 620-635 nm are rejected. The treatment of these absorption features requires line-by-line calculations, which are computationally expensive to be implemented for the whole time series.

Considering the decreasing sensitivity above 55 km and the saturation of limb signal in the lower stratosphere, the retrieval of ozone profiles is performed over the altitude range between 12 and 60 km, with the lower boundary that can be higher in the presence of a cloud. An evenly spaced vertical grid spans this vertical range with steps every 1 km.



The measurement vector consists of the logarithms of the normalized limb radiances. In detail, OMPS-LP spectrum in the four spectral segments at each altitude is normalized by a limb measurement at an upper TH. This provides a self-calibration of the instrument, by removing the need for solar irradiance measurements, and reduces the effects of surface/cloud reflectance uncertainties. Table 4.2 lists the details about spectral segments and the used normalization altitudes.

For each TH a polynomial is subtracted from the logarithm of the normalized radiance to remove slowly variable spectral features, for example, related to Rayleigh or aerosol scattering.. The last column of Table 4.2 provides information about the order of the polynomial subtracted: zeroth order or no polynomial in the UV region and first order for the Chappuis band.

TH [km]	Spectral segment [nm]	Normalization TH [km]	Poly. order
48-60	290-302	62.5	-
34-49	305-313	51.5	-
28-39	321-330	51.5	0
16-31	508-660	42.5	1
8-16 (*)	508-670	42.5	1

Table 4.2: List of the spectral segments considered for the ozone retrieval with corresponding TH ranges, altitudes used for the normalization and order of the subtracted polynomial.

As O_3 , NO_2 and O_4 have relevant spectral signatures in the selected spectral ranges, the radiation field in the forward model is calculated, taking into account these three gases. The respective cross-sections are taken from Serdyuchenko (2014), Bogumil (2000) and Hermans (2011) and are beforehand convolved to the OMPS-LP spectral resolution. Ancillary information about temperature and pressure profiles for each OMPS-LP observation is provided in LIG files, from the GMAO. A climatology profile is adopted as first guess for ozone.

Before the main retrieval, a spectral correction is applied in the Chappuis band to take into account issues related to the spectral calibration and a possible thermal expansion of the sensor. This correction consists in a shift and squeeze of the modeled spectrum relative to the measured one. This pre-processing procedure is applied independently for each observation at each TH between 12 and 31 km. The differential absorption structure in the Huggins band is mostly not resolved in OMPS-LP spectra, due to the relatively low spectral resolution of the sensor. As a consequence, the UV retrieval uses either normalized radiances or their slopes and the influence of a possible spectral misalignment is not expected to be significant; thus, the shift and squeeze algorithm is not



applied. Typical values of the spectral shift are inside the range [+1,+4] nm for the first point of the interval and [-2,+1] nm for the last spectral point.

For all spectral ranges, the measurement noise covariance matrix is obtained at this stage from the fit residuals, after all the relevant gases in the selected spectral windows have been fitted. The noise to signal ratio is taken as the root mean square of the fit residuals and fed into SCIATRAN.

Surface albedo is retrieved simultaneously, by assuming a Lambertian surface and using the sun-normalized radiance. For the albedo retrieval, we selected two spectral ranges at TH around 38 km: 350-365 nm and 445-455 nm, where ozone absorption is weak.

4.2.3 OMPS-LP Usask retrieval algorithm

4.3 SAGE-CCI-OMPS Extension (FMI)

The merged monthly zonal mean dataset of ozone profiles, which is also referred to as the SAGE-CCI-OMPS dataset, is created using the data from several satellite instruments: SAGE II on ERBS, GOMOS, SCIAMACHY and MIPAS on Envisat, OSIRIS on Odin, ACE-FTS on SCISAT, and OMPS on Suomi-NPP. This dataset has been created in the framework of Ozone_cci project (Sofieva et al., 2017). Originally, the datasets covered the time period from 1984 to 2016, but now it is regularly extended.

The stability of the individual-instrument data records has been extensively studied; only stable data are used for the merged dataset.

All the data used for creating the merged dataset have a sufficiently good resolution of 2–3 km in the UTLS. For all instruments, ozone profiles are retrieved on the geometric altitude grid. The majority of the datasets -SAGE II, GOMOS, OSIRIS, SCIAMACHY and OMPS - provide number density ozone profiles; therefore this representation is used for the merged dataset. For ACE-FTS and MIPAS, the retrievals are in volume mixing ratio on altitude grid. Conversion to number density profiles is performed using temperature profiles retrieved by these instruments, thus providing consistent (i.e., without using external information about temperature and pressure profiles) representation of number density ozone profiles.

The information about individual datasets is collected in Table 4.3.1. For some instruments, the selected time period is shorter than the full operation period. The individual datasets have been



compared with each other and with ground-based data, and only the time periods when the instruments were operating the best are selected.

Table 4.3.1. Information about the datasets used in the merged dataset (ozone profiles from limb and occultation sensors).

Instrument/ satellite	Processor, data source	Time period	Local time	Vertical resolution	Estimated precision	Profiles per day
SAGE II/ ERBS	NASA V7.0, original files	Oct 1984 – Aug 2005	sunrise, sunset	~1-2 km	0.5-5%	14-30
OSIRIS/ Odin	USask v 5.10, HARMOZ_ALT	Nov 2011 – present	6 a.m., 6 p.m.	2-3 km	2-10%	~250
GOMOS/ Envisat	ALGOM2s v 1.0, HARMOZ_ALT	Aug 2002 – Aug 2011	10 p.m.	2-3 km	0.5–5 %	~110
MIPAS/ Envisat	KIT/IAA v.7, HARMOZ_ALT	Jan 2005 – Apr 2012	10 p.m., 10 a.m.	3-5 km	1–4%	~1000
SCIAMACHY/ Envisat	UBr v3.5, HARMOZ_ALT	Aug 2003- Mar 2012	10 a.m.	3-4 km	1-7%	~1300
ACE-FTS/ SCISAT	V3.5/3.6, HARMOZ_ALT	Feb 2004 – present	sunrise, sunset	~3 km	1-3%	14-30



OMPS/ Suomi NPP	USask 2D, HARMOZ_ALT	Apr 2012- present	1:30 p.m.	~2 km	2-10%	~1600
--------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------	--------------	-------	-------	-------

The merged dataset is created in 10° latitude zones from 90°S to 90°N, in the altitude range 10 – 50 km.

The merging is performed on the deseasonalized anomalies computed from each individual dataset. The details of the merging procedure can be found in (Sofieva et al., 2017).

The main dataset consists of the merged deseasonalized anomalies and their uncertainties. For the purpose of other applications (e.g., comparisons with models etc.), we presented the ozone profile also in number density. The computing of merged number density profiles from the merged deseasonalized anomalies is performed via restoring the seasonal cycle in the data. The best estimate of the amplitude of seasonal cycle is given by MIPAS measurements, because they provide all season pole-to-pole measurements with dense sampling. We take the absolute values of the seasonal cycle from SAGE II and OSIRIS in the overlapping period (which are very close to each other and also with GOMOS measurements), thus preserving the consistency in the dataset through the whole observation period.

The merged SAGE-CCI_OMPS is actively used in ozone trends analyses and in ozone assessments (WMO 2014, 2018; Petropavlovskikh et al., 2019; Weber et al., 2018, 2019).



4.4 Gridded merged Level 3 dataset (FMI)

The merged gridded dataset of ozone profiles is significantly developed further in the Ozone CCI+ project. The monthly zonal mean gridded ozone profile dataset is provided in the altitude range from 10 km to 50 km. It covers the time period from late 2001 until now. The data are gridded monthly in the 10° latitude x 20° longitude zones. Since the sampling of solar occultation measurements is rather low, they are not included. The gridded ozone profiles are computed first separately for GOMOS, MIPAS, SCIAMACHY, OSIRIS, OMPS-LP, MLS, and they are also merged into one dataset. The information about the individual datasets can be found Tables 4.1 and 4.3. The principle of creating the Level 3 gridded data for individual datasets, as well as data merging is the same as for the monthly zonal mean dataset.