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CORE-CLIMAX Project objectives

1) Coordinate with Earth Observation and climate change projects;
2) Propose a structured process for delivering ECVs – *Essential Climate Variables*;
3) Propose a validation process aiming at qualifying the accuracy of the climate variables;
4) Propose a feedback mechanism ensuring that the results of the re-analysis process get appropriately reflected into updates of the CDR - *Climate data Records*;
5) Propose a process to compare re-analyses.
CORE-CLIMAX work packages

**WP 1:** Project Management (ITC)

**WP 2:** European ECV capability and structured ECV process (EUMETSAT, ITC, VITO, DWD, FMI, MTF)

**WP 3:** Validation process (ITC, EUMETSAT, ECMWF, DWD, VITO, FMI, MTF, ITP, CAREERI)

**WP 4:** Reanalysis feedback to CDR updates (ECMWF, EUMETSAT, DWD, ITC)

**WP 5:** Intercomparing reanalysis results (FMI, DWD, ECMWF)

**WP 6:** Dissemination, outreach and capacity building (ITC, EUMETSAT, ECMWF, DWD, FMI)

Mandatory Information

Feedback Information

Advisory Information
Common Climate Observations
Approach proposed in CORE-CLIMAX

Three-step approach to classify the maturity of ECV CDRs:

- **Data Record Inventories (DRI)**
  Contain technical specifications and also links to documented information on quality, calibration and inter-calibration (e.g. ecv-inventory.com);

- **System Maturity Matrix (SMM)**
  Evaluates if the production of the ECV CDR follows best practices for science, engineering and utilization;

- **Application Performance Matrix (APM)**
  Evaluates the performance of an ECV CDR with respect to a specific application.

(WP 2, J. Schulz)
The CORE-CLIMAX System Maturity Matrix

Is the software robust and maintainable?

Are the data and methods well documented?

What is the trueness of the data?

Are data well used and user feedbacks taken care of?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Software readiness</th>
<th>Metadata</th>
<th>User documentation</th>
<th>Uncertainty Characterization</th>
<th>Public Access, Feedback and Update</th>
<th>Usage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are the codes compliant with standards, stable, portable and reproducible?</td>
<td>Do the metadata meets international standards, and allows provenance tracking?</td>
<td>Are the formal documents and peer-reviewed papers up-to-date and public?</td>
<td>Are the uncertainties assessed systematically in a standard manner?</td>
<td>Are the data, source code, and documents publicly available and regularly updated?</td>
<td>Are the data widely used in the scientific, and decision and policy making communities?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(WP 2, J. Schulz)
The CORE-CLIMAX Application Performance Matrix

Application Performance Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coverage</th>
<th>Sampling</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
<th>Stability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are the record length and spatial coverage meeting the application’s requirements?</td>
<td>Does the spatial and temporal sampling meet the applications requirements?</td>
<td>Does the random and systematic uncertainties meet the requirements?</td>
<td>Does the temporal stability meet the requirements?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Application Performance Matrix (1=low; 6=high) that characterises the applicability of the data record.

(WP 2, J. Schulz)
• 31 datasets with self assessments using SMM:
  – 24 datasets are based on satellite data (12 – SAF, 6 – CCI)
  – 6 are *in situ* datasets
  – 1 reanalysis dataset

(16 Atmospheric datasets, 10 Land datasets, 6 Ocean datasets)

• A workshop summary report as policy briefing to the EC & other potential stakeholders
• A report on the self-assessment (after feedback by data submitters)
Reanalysis

Models

Understanding

• Errors in observations
• Errors in models
• Predictability
• Variability

(P4, Poli)
Objective: Reconstruct the past

“Observations-only” climatology

Gross exaggeration towards discontinuity

Reanalysis

“Model only” integration

Gross exaggeration towards continuity

(WP4, P. Poli)
Why re-analyze?

Overall aim is a greater time-consistency of the products AND improve understanding of past events (including extremes) by using state-of-the-art models and tools.

![Graphs showing temperature data at the South Pole with arrows indicating a comparison between 1985 and 2011.](image)

Was there a sudden change in South Pole summer variability in 1997? ...

... probably not.

(WP4, P. Poli)
Improved quality & understanding of observations

39-year time-series (1973-2012) of observation minus reanalysis departures, for an infrared channel near 746 cm\(^{-1}\)

Stdev(O-B), without bias correction (K)

VTPR1, ch.7, 747.65 cm\(^{-1}\)
VTPR2, ch.7, 747.55 cm\(^{-1}\)
HIRS, ch.6, 748.27 cm\(^{-1}\)
AIRS, ch.333 746.01 cm\(^{-1}\)

(VP4, P. Poli)
Why validate? – consistency of ECVs
(Ex 1. Closing the Water Cycle – Total Precipitable Water & Soil Moisture)
Future R&D needs – consistency of ECVs
(Ex 2: ESA Dragon Programme: Drought Monitoring, Assessment, Prediction and Adaptation under Climatic Changes)

Atmospheric model or routine meteorological data

Surface Energy Balance System (SEBS)

Surface Soil Moisture

Data Assimilation

Drought Information System (Drought severity distribution, prediction and adaptation strategies)

Decision Makers

Su, Timmermans et al.
Tibetan Plateau observatory of plateau scale soil moisture and soil temperature (Tibet-Obs)

Su et al. 2011, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.,
www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci.net/15/2303/2011/
Maqu SMST Network – validation results
Ngari SMST Network – validation results

![Graph showing model performance for Ngari SMST Network validation results.](image)

- **In-Situ**
- **SMOS asc**
- **SMOS des**
- **GLDAS**
- **ECMWF**
- **WACMOS/CC**

*(WP3)*
Impacts and projections in water resources

• Q1: What are observed impacts to water resources in Yangtze due to climate and human changes?

• Q2: Will the changes in the Yangtze River Basin influence the East Asian monsoon patterns?

• Q3: What will be the spatial/temporal distribution of water (sediment) resources in 21st century?
Changes in Water Budget

Rain  Snow

Land Surface & Water Use

Surface Water

Groundwater

evaporation

River Outflow
Spatial Water budget of the Yangtze River Basin
• Upper Yangtze reach, from Tuotuohe, to Yichang.
• Middle reach from Yichang to Hankou.
• Lower reach extends from Hankou to the river mouth near Shanghai.

• Cuntan, Yichang, Hankou, and Datong are four gauging stations located along the mainstream of the Yangtze.
Upper reach TWS anomaly

- **P(GPCP)-E(SEBS)-R(obs)**
- **P(ERA-Interim)-E(ERA-Interim)-R(ERA-Interim)**
- **GRACE**

[Graph showing monthly TWS anomalies (mm) over time (mm/yy)]
Upper reach cumulative TWS anomaly

The graph shows the monthly accumulated TWS anomalies (in mm) over time (mm/yy) from 01/01 to 01/10. The anomalies are represented by different lines:
- Red line: P(GPCP)-E(SEBS)-R(obs)
- Green line: P(ERA-Interim)-E(ERA-Interim)-R(ERA-Interim)
- Blue line: GRACE

The graph illustrates the cumulative TWS anomaly trends in the upper reach over the specified period.
Ex 3. Use of ECVs in generating energy balance/water cycle components

- Satellite data
  - LST, NDVI, Albedo
- Meteorological forcing data
  - Tair, Ws, Rh, Pres.....
- Radiation forcing data
  - SWD, LWD.....

SEBS
- H, G, Rn

Parameterization improvement

In-situ data

Data quality control

Evaluation

(Processing chain developed in ESA WACMOS.org project)
Seasonal average maps of sensible heat flux (H)  
(a) Mar-May, (b) Jun-Aug, (c) Sep-Nov, (d) Dec-Feb
Spatial (climatic) trends

(a) H trends (W/m², 2001-2010)
(b) LE trends (W/m², 2001-2010)
(c) Rn trends (W/m², 2001-2010)
(d) G0 trends (W/m², 2001-2010)
Summary

1) CORE-CLIMAX has proposed a structured process for assessing European capability in delivering ECVs;
   • Using and contributing to data record inventories;
   • Using an updated System Maturity Matrix approach of ‘measuring’ if data records are produced with best practises for science and engineering;
   • Using a novel approach of an Application Performance Matrix to break down comprehensive information on data record quality into a performance index;
   • The CORE-CLIMAX Capability Assessment Workshop recommended the use of SMM. (APM to be further worked out)

2) CORE-CLIMAX is proposing a validation process aiming at qualifying the accuracy of the climate variables;

3) CORE-CLIMAX is proposing a feedback mechanism ensuring that the results of the re-analysis process get appropriately reflected into updates of the CDR.
Objectives:

• Provide guidance and expertise in climate data records,
• Train people on the use of climate information and its associated uncertainty,
• Provide generic tools to build the bridge between the climate information and the users’ need.

• http://www.coreclimax.eu/?q=WorkshopFMI